

87th Texas Legislature: A Preview In a Time of Covid-19

Presented by Matt Hull, TACDC

Important Dates

November 3, 2020: Election Date

November 9, 2020: Bill Prefilling Begins (555 HBs, 186 SBs)

December 19, 2020- Special Election Senate District 30

January 12, 2021: 87th Legislature convenes at noon

March 12, 2021: 60-day bill filing deadline

May 31, 2021: Adjournment sine die

TA+CDC

What We Know

A New House Speaker

Texas Will Have a Significant Reduction in Budget

22 Incumbents Not Returning



What We Don't Know

How Covid-19 Will Impact Operations During Session

- -Business as Usual but with Masks?
- -Convene, Adjourn, Pass Budget and Redistricting?
- -Multiple Special Sessions Due to Covid-19?

Will Senate update 3/5th Rule now that Senate District 19 flipped to Democratic Seat?



What We Think

- Covid-19 may change traditional lobbying practices
 - -Fewer In Person Meetings/Fundraisers
 - -Possible Greater Influence for Advocates
 - -*Speaker-Elect Phelan established legislative operations workgroup

Bills with Fiscal Notes are Likely Dead

Housing May Take on Important Role In Discussions



Legislation Likely To Be Filed

Bills on Housing Funding

- -Increases vs. Hold the Line on HTF
- -State Tax Credit for Affordable Housing (SB1116)

QAP Changes

- -Change Priority of Education Points (HB1215)
- -2 Mile De-concentration Rule (SB542)
- -Tenant Selection Criteria
- -Right of First Refusal (SB958)



Legislation Likely To Be Filed

Homeownership

- -Land Bank/Land Trust Enabling Legislation
- -Homestead Preservation Districts Enabling Bills

Taxation

- -Land Bank/Land Trust Clarification (SB335)
- -Self Help Housing Tax Exemption (SB547)
- -Increasing Public Benefits in Public Facilities Corps.



Legislation Likely To Be Filed

Housing Programs

- -HOME CHDO Funds (SB544)
- -Migrant / Farm Labor Housing Standards (HB206)
- -Transfer of Land from School Districts for Affordable Housing (SB1114)





TEXAS AFFILIATION OF **AFFORDABLE HOUSING** PROVIDERS

2021 87th Legislature Priorities

Chris Akbari, 2020-2021 President-Elect,
Govt. Affairs Chair

KEY FOCUS:

- 1. REMOVE BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- 2. DEFENSE AGAINST BAD LEGISLATION

Increase the supply of affordable housing by removing resolutions of no objection

• <u>Background</u>: During the 83rd session, new legislation was passed requiring 4% HTC applicants to obtain a resolution of no objection from the local jurisdiction. Failure to do so would make the development ineligible for credits with no opportunity to appeal.



- **Problem:** 4% HTC: the requirement of resolutions of no objection results in a politically charged environment that hinders building affordable housing, especially in suburban areas where land is already appropriately zoned.
- <u>Solution</u>: Strike language in Section 2306.67071(c)(4), which requires the governing body to pass a resolution of "no objection" and require a certification of notification in lieu.

NO FISCAL IMPACT



Remove barriers to building affording housing in areas of greatest need

• Background: The 9% HTC program incentivizes developments in census tracts in which there are no other existing tax credit developments. This incentivizes developers to look for "clean" census tracts that have never had a development, but oftentimes, there is no other existing development there because there is no market demand. This provision effectively dis-incentivizes developments in densely populated urban areas where there is the most need, despite the existence of another tax credit development.



- Problem: Tax credits in densely populated areas are not encouraged, meaning affordable housing is not always being built where it most needed. There are other de-concentration factors in the rule that more equitably distribute tax credit dollars.
- <u>Solution:</u> Remove Section 2306.6725(b)(2) which requires TDHCA to incentivize developments in "census tracts in which there are no other existing developments supported by tax credits."

NO FISCAL IMPACT



Give TDHCA the ability to prioritize policy objectives

• <u>Background:</u> The Texas HTC program is highly prescribed by state statute, hampering TDHCA's ability to be nimble from year to year in addressing changing policy goals and objectives.



- <u>Problem:</u> Section 2306.6710 sets forth required scoring criteria in descending priority order, hampering TDHCA's ability to make any substantive changes to the scoring rubric.
- <u>Solution:</u> Replace the directive to "prioritizes in descending order" with a simple directive to "consider" the enumerated scoring criteria in Section 2306.6710(b)(1) and/or reorder the prioritization of the scoring items.

NO FISCAL IMPACT

